





### **CAPITAL PLANNING PROGRAM**

London Breed Mayor

NAOMI M. KELLY City Administrator

BRIAN STRONG Director, Office of Resilience and Capital Planning This checklist should be used in conjunction with the Sea Level Rise (SLR) Guidance document ("Guidance") for use by City departments to guide the evaluation of capital planning projects with respect to sea level rise.

Guidance for Incorporating Sea Level Rise into Capital Planning in San Francisco

Sea Level Rise Checklist (Version 3.0 Nov 2020)

#### **Pre-Checklist Conditions**

The checklist is only required if the following 3 conditions are ALL met. If the answer is 'No' to ANY of these questions, do not complete the SLR checklist at this time. Retain page 1 of the checklist for your project records.

- 1. **Project has a location identified** (some projects are so early in planning that they do not yet have a specific location within CCSF) Yes No
- 2. Project is within the SLR Vulnerability Zone Yes No (Please review the "SLR Vulnerability Zone Map" at: https://data.sfgov.org/SLR-Vulnerability-Zone/)
- 3. Anticipated total project cost<sup>1</sup> equals or exceed 5 million dollars Yes No

## Preparer and Project Information

Department Name:	
Project Name:	
Project ID:	
Name of Project Mgr:	
Name of Preparer:	
Dept. Director:	
Date prepared:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Project cost includes planning, design, and construction costs.

## **Checklist for projects meeting all 3 Pre-Checklist conditions above:**

#### **Project Information**

**1.** What is the project location? (Please provide the street address or GIS coordinates):

2. What type of asset or project is being proposed? (e.g., new construction, rehabilitation or modification of existing structure, building(s), roadway structure, utility structure, park, etc.):

## Functional Lifespan / Useful Life of Project

3. Use the table below to select an appropriate useful life, and support your selection in Question 4.

(A resilient facility should be built to withstand, or recover quickly from, natural hazards. This includes performing its intended design standard(s) throughout its functional lifespan or useful life in a changing climate. Meeting this goal requires designing or rehabilitating facilities to withstand the climate conditions projected to occur by the end of the facilities useful life.)

Guidance fo	or determining a pro	oject's or facility's useful life
< 20 years	Temporary or rapidly replaced assets	<ul> <li>Interim and deployable flood protection measures</li> <li>Asphalt pavement, pavers, and other ROW finishing</li> <li>Green infrastructure</li> <li>Street furniture</li> <li>Technology components (e.g., telecommunications equipment, batteries, solar photovoltaics, fuel sells)</li> </ul>
20 – 50 years	Facility improvements, and components replaced on regular replacement cycles	<ul> <li>Electrical, HVAC, and mechanical components</li> <li>Most building retrofits (substantial improvements)</li> <li>Concrete paving</li> <li>Infrastructural mechanical components (e.g., compressors, lifts, pumps)</li> <li>Outdoor recreational facilities</li> <li>At-site energy equipment (e.g., above ground fuel tables, conduit, emergency generators)</li> <li>Stormwater detention systems</li> </ul>
60 – 80 years	Long-lived buildings and infrastructure	<ul> <li>Most buildings (e.g., public, office, residential)</li> <li>Plazas</li> <li>Retaining walls</li> <li>Culverts</li> <li>On-site energy generation / co-generation plants</li> </ul>
> 80 years	Assets that cannot be relocated	<ul> <li>Major infrastructure (e.g., tunnels, bridges, wastewater treatment plants)</li> <li>Monumental buildings</li> <li>Road reconstruction</li> <li>Subgrade sewer infrastructure (e.g., sewers, catch basins, force mains, transport / storage boxes outfalls)</li> </ul>

Source: NYC Climate Resiliency Design Guidelines, September 2020, Version 4.0

De	epar	tmei	nt N	lan	ne	:	
	· .						

Project ID (if available):

4.	What is the functional lifespan / useful life of the project? (Refer to the guidance in Question 3)
	Construction completion year: Functional lifespan / useful life (in years):
	(Please provide a justification for the functional lifespan / useful life selected. The justification should be consistent with the guidance provided in Question 3.
5.	What is the planning horizon?
	(The construction completion year + functional life span = planning horizon year; e.g., 2030 construction completion year + 60 year functional life span = 2090.)
	Planning horizon year:

# **Existing Site Elevation and Coastal Hazards Information**

6.	Has the site historically been flooded due to high tides/and or storms?
	(If yes, please describe conditions: e.g., extreme high tide, storm surge, rainstorm event)
	Yes No
	Yes No
7.	What is the lowest ground elevation at your project location (in feet NAVD88)?
	(Please download the <b>Digital Elevation Model Visualization Tool</b> for the neighborhood
	where your project is located, and select the lowest elevation on the project site.
	Record the lowest elevation, latitude, and longitude of the selected point.)
	feet NAVD88
	Latitude Longitude
8.	What is the Mean Higher High Water (MHHW) elevation closest to your project location?
•••	(Please download the Water Levels Visualization Tool and select the closest point to
	your project location and record the MHHW elevation).
	MHHW Elevation :feet NAVD88
9.	What is the 100-year extreme tide elevation (in feet) closest to your project location?
	(Please use the Water Levels Visualization Tool and select the closest point to your
	project location and record the year 100-year extreme tide elevation).
	100-year extreme tide elevation (in feet):feet NAVD88

10.	Is the project located within 100 ft of the shoreline? (The <u>Water Levels Visualization Tool</u> includes the 100-foot shoreline buffer. If the project is located within this zone, the 100-year total water level which includes wave hazards at the shorelinemust be considered.)
	Yes (Go to Question 11). No (Go to Question 12).
11.	If the project is within 100 ft of the shoreline, what is your 100-year total water level elevation? (Please use the <u>Water Levels Visualization Tool</u> and select the closest point to your project location and record the year 100-year total water level elevation). 100-year total water level elevation (in feet):ft NAVD88

# **SECTION I - Vulnerability Assessment for Potential** Projects in the SLR Vulnerability Zone

Α.	Exposure (see SLR Guidance for additional information):
	Assess if the project site or asset could be subjected to sea level rise inundation, temporary coastal
	flooding, or wave hazards. Some fields below will auto-calculate based on the information entered.

**Future Sea Level Rise Calculations** 

12.	(If your project is RCP 8.5 for all fol	ed sea level rise at the end of the planning horizon year (from Question 4.) within 500 feet of the shoreline, or if it provides a critical service, please select owing calculations. If RCP 4.5 is selected, please provide justification for this the <u>Water Levels Visualization Tool</u> includes the 500-foot shoreline buffer.)	
	a) RCP 4.5 b)	in inches andin feet likely value in inches andin feet 1-in-200 chance value	
	RCP 8.5 c) d)	in inches andin feet likely value in inches andin feet 1-in-200 chance value	

#### Assess Project Vulnerability to *Permanent Inundation* from Sea Level Rise

13. Subtract MHHW (8) from the Project elevation (7)
<b>Difference in feet</b> :ft (If the answer is negative, the project is below MHHW and could be vulnerable today.)
a) Is the project vulnerable to permanent inundation during the functional lifespan using the likely SLR <u>scenario</u> ? (Is the answer to Question 12a/12c greater than the answer to Question 13?).
Yes: The project could be inundated by likely sea level rise and will require adaptation strategies. No: Not vulnerable.
<b>b)</b> Is the project vulnerable to permanent inundation during the functional lifespan using the 1-in-200 chance <u>SLR scenario</u> ? (Is the answer to Question 12b/12d is greater than the answer to Question 13).
Yes: The project could be inundated by 1-in-200 chance sea level rise and adaptation strategies are recommended.
No: Not vulnerable.
Department Name:
Project ID (if available):Date prepared:

	ubtract 100-year extreme tide elevation (9) from the Project elevation (7):
	ifference in feet:ft
	f the answer is negative, the project could be vulnerable to temporary flooding by the 100-year xtreme tide event today.)
	Is the project vulnerable to temporary coastal flooding coupled with <u>likely sea level rise</u> during the functional lifespan? <i>(Is the answer to Question 14 less than the answer to Question 12a?)</i>
	Yes: The project could be inundated by a 100-year extreme tide coupled with likely sea level rise. Flood-proofing adaptation strategies may be required.
	No: Not vulnerable.
	) Is the project vulnerable to temporary coastal flooding coupled with <u>1-in-200 chance sea level rise</u> ? (Is the answer to Question 14 less than the answer to Question 12b?)
	Yes: The project could be inundated by a 100-year extreme tide coupled with 1-in-200 chance sea level rise. Flood-proofing adaptation strategies are recommended.
	No: Not vulnerable.
15.	or projects within 100 ft of the shoreline (If project is not within 100 ft of the shoreline, go to Question 16.)
	ubtract 100-year total water elevation (11) from the Project elevation (7):
	ifference in feet:ft
	f the answer is negative, the project could be vulnerable to wave inundation if the 100-
	ear total water level can overtop the adjacent shoreline under existing conditions.)
	Is the project vulnerable to potential wave inundation with <u>likely sea level rise</u> during the functional lifespan? (Is the answer to Question 15 less than the answer to Question 12a?)
	Is the project vulnerable to potential wave inundation with <u>likely sea level rise</u> during the
	<ul> <li>Is the project vulnerable to potential wave inundation with <u>likely sea level rise</u> during the functional lifespan? (Is the answer to Question 15 less than the answer to Question 12a?)</li> <li>Yes: The project could be inundated by wave hazards with likely sea level rise. Adaptation strategies</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Is the project vulnerable to potential wave inundation with <u>likely sea level rise</u> during the functional lifespan? (<i>Is the answer to Question 15 less than the answer to Question 12a?</i>)</li> <li>Yes: The project could be inundated by wave hazards with likely sea level rise. Adaptation strategies may be required.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Is the project vulnerable to potential wave inundation with <u>likely sea level rise</u> during the functional lifespan? (<i>Is the answer to Question 15 less than the answer to Question 12a?</i>)</li> <li>Yes: The project could be inundated by wave hazards with likely sea level rise. Adaptation strategies may be required.</li> <li>No: Not vulnerable.</li> <li>Is the project vulnerable to potential wave inundation with <u>1-in-200 chance sea level rise</u>?</li> </ul>

#### **B.** Sensitivity (see SLR Guidance for definition):

16. Is the project/asset(s) sensitive to inundation (i.e., is it physically or functionally impaired if it gets wet?)

**Low Sensitivity**: sea level rise and temporary flooding would have little or no impact on the project asset(s) physically or functionally.

**Moderate Sensitivity:** sea level rise and temporary flooding would have an impact on the project/assets(s) physically or functionally, but the project would recover quickly once floodwaters subside. The project would retain partial function while inundated.

**High Sensitivity:** sea level rise and storm surge inundation have a significant influence on the project/ asset(s) physically or functionally, and the project would not recover quickly once floodwaters subside. The project would lose major function while inundated.

Please explain briefly\*:

\*(If more space is required, please provide on separate page)

- C. Adaptive Capacity (see SLR Guidance for definition):
- **17.** Does the project/asset(s) have adaptive capacity (i.e., can it easily be adapted to mitigate potential damage or functional impairment, or does it have redundancy to minimize potential consequences?)

**Low Adaptive Capacity:** Project/asset(s) has little inherent capacity to adapt to future inundation or flooding without additional capital investments.

**Moderate Adaptive Capacity:** Project/asset(s) has some inherent capacity to adapt to inundation or flooding without additional capital investments (e.g., the project includes redundancy, or a reasonable alternate route is available).

**High Adaptive Capacity:** Project/asset(s) has substantial capacity to adapt to inundation or flooding without additional capital investments (e.g., the ability to adapt to higher sea level rise has been designed into the project, such as automatic flood barriers on doorways).

Please explain briefly\*:

\*(If more space is required, please provide on separate page).

# **SECTION 2 – Risk Assessment for Projects identified as vulnerable to sea level rise or temporary coastal flooding.**

18. What is the anticipated level of **DAMAGE** to the project/ asset(s)?

Low Damage: Asset(s) could be repaired/ partially replaced

**Moderate Damage**: Asset(s) would require complete replacement or very costly repairs **High Damage**: Asset(s) would not be repairable or replaceable in the existing location Unknown

Please explain briefly\*:

#### **19.** What is the level of **DISRUPTION**?

Low: no or little disruption in service or function

**Moderate**: disruption in service or function that doesn't threaten public health & safety (non-critical) **High**: disruption of service and/or function that threatens public health & safety (critical) Unknown

Please explain briefly\*:

20. What are the COSTS (to replace/repair, return to service, or relative to health & safety)?

Low: no or little cost to return asset(s) or minor secondary service disruption costs
 Moderate: moderate cost to repair/ replace asset(s)
 High: high cost to fully replace asset(s) in new location and/ or high secondary costs attributed to asset being out of service
 Unknown
 Please explain briefly\*:

If all answers to Section 2, Questions 18, 19, and 20 are Low, project likely has sufficient adaptation planning. If any answers are Medium, additional adaptation planning may be required. If any answers are High, alternatives should be considered.

21. Please briefly summarize sea level rise adaptation measures associated with this project or program\*:

**22.** Additional Comments\*:

\*(If more space is required, please provide on separate page)

Department Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Project ID (if available):

Date prepared: \_\_\_\_\_

	nt Certification Submittal
Program for processing.)	
	(Dept Name) certifies that the
information provided herein is comple	te and consistent with CCSF Sea Level Rise Guidance
Dept. Director:	
Signature <sup>2</sup> :	Date:
SECTION 4 – Capital Pla	anning Committee
This section is for City Engineer, Capital Plar	nning Committee, or Designee completion only.)
This project is certified as consistent w	vith the CCSF Sea Level Rise Guidance and
	sea level rise and related flooding impacts during its functional lifespan
	lue to low sensitivity or high adaptive capacity
	udes sufficient adaptation planning to address sea level rise
will require additional adaptation	planning
Comments:	
Comments:	
	t):
City Engineer Name (please type/print	t):
City Engineer Name (please type/print Signature <sup>2</sup> :	t): Date:
City Engineer Name (please type/print Signature <sup>2</sup> :	t):
City Engineer Name (please type/print Signature <sup>2</sup> :	t): Date:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> (Digital Signatures are preferred; if this file needs to be printed and scanned for signatures, please ensure high resolution document print and scan for legibility. Thank you.)